Singular Instantons and Painlevé VI

Richard MUÑIZ MANASLISKI

Centro de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias,
Iguá 4225 esq. Mataojo C.P. 11400, Montevideo, Uruguay
E-mail: *rmuniz@cmat.edu.uy*

Received February 26, 2016, in final form June 09, 2016; Published online June 15, 2016
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2016.057

arXiv:1602.07212v4 [math-ph] 15 Jun 2016

Abstract. We consider a two parameter family of instantons, which is studied in [Sadun L., *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 257–291], invariant under the irreducible action of SU_2 on S^4, but which are not globally defined. We will see that these instantons produce solutions to a one parameter family of Painlevé VI equations ($\mathrm{P_{VI}}$) and we will give an explicit expression of the map between instantons and solutions to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$. The solutions are algebraic only for that values of the parameters which correspond to the instantons that can be extended to all of S^4. This work is a generalization of [Muñiz Manasliski R., *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 434, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 215–222] and [Muñiz Manasliski R., *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** (2009), 1036–1047, arXiv:1602.07221], where instantons without singularities are studied.

Key words: twistor theory; Yang–Mills instantons; isomonodromic deformations

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55; 53C07; 53C28

1 Introduction

Yang–Mills instantons are anti-self-dual (or self-dual) connections over four-dimensional, orientable, Riemannian manifolds. They are absolute minima of the Yang–Mills functional

$$\mathrm{YM}(M) = -\int_M \mathrm{Tr}(F^\nabla \wedge *F^\nabla)$$

restricted to a fixed Chern number, where $*$ is the Hodge operator. Instantons appear in many instances in mathematics and physics and since the work of Simon Donaldson we know that they are a fundamental tool in the study of the topology of four-dimensional manifolds. In [13] Kronheimer and Mrowka introduced a moduli space of instantons on a four manifold having certain type of singularity along an embedded surface. They are called instantons with holonomic singularity because they have non trivial asymptotic holonomy when we consider small circles around the surface. The goal in [13] was to study topological obstructions to the embedding of a surface into a four-dimensional manifold. This kind of instantons was first introduced by physicists [6] and they are also known as fractionally charged instantons since their second Chern number is not necessarily an integer. We consider instantons with holonomic singularities on S^4 which are invariant under an action of SU_2 and explore their relation with certain solutions to the famous Painlevé VI equation $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$. The relation between instantons and $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ has been extensively studied, see for example [16, 17, 24].

Painlevé VI equation is an ODE on the complex domain, depending on four complex parameters, and it is the most important second order equation having what is called the *Painlevé property* (that is, absence of movable critical singularities). Critical singularities of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ can only be located at 0, 1 or ∞. This equation appears when isomonodromic deformations of certain connections are considered [8, 12]. Recently $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ has been interpreted as the simplest non abelian Gauss–Manin connection [2]. Typically solutions are "new" transcendental functions and to

find them is a highly non trivial activity (general transcendental solutions have been obtained in [9]). Nevertheless, for certain values of the parameters one can find "classical" [18, 23] or even algebraic solutions. One main problem was to find a list of the algebraic solutions to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ analogous to the Schwartz's list for the hypergeometric equation [1, 7], this is archived in [14].

In [20] we studied symmetric instantons defined on all of S^4. They form a countable family and the Painlevé equations related to them are all equivalent. By equivalent we mean that they are in the same orbit of the Okamoto affine Weyl group of type F_4 [2, 21]. Allowing instantons to have singularities we have a continuous family of non equivalent $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ equations. More precisely, for each real number θ we have the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{y-1}+\frac{1}{y-x}\right)\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2-\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x-1}+\frac{1}{y-x}\right)\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{1}{8}(\theta\pm 2)^2+\frac{1}{8}\theta^2\frac{x}{y^2}+\frac{1}{8}\theta^2\frac{x-1}{(y-1)^2}+\frac{1}{8}(\theta^2-4)\frac{x(x-1)}{(y-x)^2}\right)\frac{y(y-1)(y-x)}{x^2(x-1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\theta=1$ the solution corresponding to the non singular instanton is one of Hitchin's octahedral solutions [10, 11].

The above set of parameters lies on the intersection of three reflecting hyperplanes of the Weyl group. Being more precise, the Painlevé equation depends on four parameters $(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$ and the reflections with respect to the planes $\theta_1=0$, $\theta_2=0$, $\theta_3=0$, $\theta_4=1$, $\sum\theta_i=0$ generate an affine Weyl group of type D_4 of symmetries. In our case $\theta_1=\theta_2=\theta_3=\theta_4=\theta/2$, hence the one parameter family lies in the intersection of the three reflecting hyperplanes: $\theta_1-\theta_2+\theta_3-\theta_4=0$, $\theta_1+\theta_2-\theta_3-\theta_4=0$, $\theta_1-\theta_2-\theta_3+\theta_4=0$. This family of parameters is equivalent to that considered by Dubrovin and Mazzoco in [7]; in fact, parameters of the form $(\theta/2,\theta/2,\theta/2,\theta/2)$ are in the same orbit (under the Weyl group) as $(0,0,0,\theta)$, and the parameter μ of [7] in terms of θ is $\mu=\theta/2$. The transformation relating both families of parameters is the so called Okamoto transformation and it is given by

$$(x,y,\boldsymbol{\theta})\mapsto\left(x,y+\frac{\delta}{q},\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\delta}\right),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}=(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\qquad \boldsymbol{\delta}=(\delta,\delta,\delta,\delta),\qquad \delta=\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\theta_i,$$

$$2q=\frac{(x-1)y'-\theta_1}{y}+\frac{y'-1-\theta_2}{y-x}-\frac{xy'+\theta_3}{y-1}.$$

Dubrovin and Mazzoco find all algebraic solutions considering a special class of solutions having a specific asymptotic behaviour around the critical points. It is said that a branch of a solution to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}(\theta)$ has critical behaviour of algebraic type in 0 if there exist $\ell_0\in\mathbb{R}$, $a_0\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$y(x)=a_0x^{\ell_0}\big(1+\mathcal{O}\big(x^{\epsilon}\big)\big)\qquad\text{as}\quad x\to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Obviously, any algebraic function verifies this property with ℓ_0 rational. It is easy to see that the Okamoto transformation preserves this type of solutions, without changing ℓ_0. As it is proved in [7, Theorem 2.1], for each nonresonant value of θ (i.e., $\theta\notin\mathbb{Z}$) there exists a solution to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}(\theta)$ with asymptotic behaviour prescribed by (1.1). Such a solution will also have critical behaviour of algebraic type at 1 and ∞, i.e., there exist (a_1,ℓ_1) and (a_∞,ℓ_∞) such that

$$\begin{aligned}y(x)&=1-a_1(1-x)^{\ell_1}\big(1+\mathcal{O}\big((1-x)^{\epsilon}\big)\big)\qquad\text{as}\quad x\to 1,\\ y(x)&=a_\infty x^{1-\ell_\infty}\big(1+\mathcal{O}\big(x^{-\epsilon}\big)\big)\qquad\text{as}\quad x\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

For the solution to be algebraic the parameters ℓ_i must be rational and satisfy $0 < \ell_i \leq 1$. In Section 3 we find an explicit expression for the solution to Painlevé's equation in terms of the invariant instanton, from which, using the previous facts, we show that solutions from Sadun's instantons [22] are not algebraic.

A generalization of the Dubrovin–Mazzoco strategy can be found in [1], where new explicit algebraic solutions were found the parameters of which lie in the interior of a fundamental domain. The same circle of ideas leads finally to the classification of all algebraic solutions in [14].

In Section 2 we briefly describe the action of SU_2 on S^4 which is considered and we remember the explicit form of the reduced ASD equations for invariant instantons. In Section 3, which is the main part of the paper, using the relation between symmetric instantons and isomonodromic deformations we make the calculations to find an explicit expression for the solution of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ in terms of the instanton. That is, we find the explicit form of the map from symmetric instantons to solutions of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$. Section 4 is devoted to study the special case of instantons with holonomic singularity that were defined by Kronheimer and Mrowka and we compute the parameters of the corresponding $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ equations. Finally, in Section 5 we state the result of Sadun showing the existence of instantons of the kind studied in Section 4, and show that the corresponding solutions to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ are not algebraic unless the instanton can be smoothly extended to all of the 4-sphere.

2 The action and some notations

Let us identify $\mathbb{C}^4$ with the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree three, in two variables, and with complex coefficients

$$\mathbb{C}^4 \cong \big\{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = z_1\mathbf{x}^3 + z_4\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y} + z_3\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2 + z_2\mathbf{y}^3 \colon z_i \in \mathbb{C},\ i = 1,2,3,4\big\}.$$

SU_2 acts as usual on the space of polynomials $g\cdot\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{p}((\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\bar{g})$, and this representation is quaternionic if we identify $\mathbb{C}^4$ with $\mathbb{H}^2$ through the map

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \longmapsto (z_1 + z_2 j, z_3 + z_4 j),$$

viewing $\mathbb{H}^2$ as a left $\mathbb{H}$-module. Using the identification $\mathbb{H}\mathrm{P}^1 \cong S^4$ we obtain an action by isometries of SU_2 on S^4 such that $S^4/\mathrm{SU}_2 \cong [0,1]$. The curve $c(t)$ given by $t \mapsto (1,0,t,0)$ composed with the quotient map $\mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{H}\mathrm{P}^1$ parametrizes a great circle on S^4 and it is such that for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ it intersects each orbit exactly once (the parametrization here is not geodesic unlike the usual parametrization in the literature). When $t \in (0,1)$ we have three-dimensional orbits and for $t = 0, 1$ the orbits are of dimension two ($c(0)$ obviously has one-dimensional stabilizer, and it is not difficult to see that the real twistor line above $c(1)$ has a point with one-dimensional stabilizer). The exceptional orbits are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2$ and we denote them by $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$ ($t = 0$) and $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ ($t = 1$). For more details and other descriptions of this action we refer to [3, 19, 20, 22]. Any invariant object on S^4 is determined by its restriction to the curve $c(t)$.

By one-dimensional reduction, an invariant connection over the trivial complex vector bundle of rank 2, on an open set of three-dimensional orbits, is given by a function

$$t \mapsto a_1(t)X_1 \otimes \sigma_1 + a_2(t)X_2 \otimes \sigma_2 + a_3(t)X_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \in \mathfrak{su}_2 \times \mathfrak{su}_2^*,$$

where $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathfrak{su}_2$ and $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ is the corresponding dual basis. For the connection to be anti-self-dual (ASD) the triplet of functions $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ must satisfy the differential equations (see [4, p. 196], [20, p. 1045, equations (4.1)]):

$$\frac{1}{2}K_1(t)\dot{a}_1 = a_1 - a_2a_3, \qquad \text{and cyclic permutations,} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$K_1(t) = \frac{(t^2-1)(t^2-9)}{4t}, \qquad K_2(t) = 4t\frac{(t-3)(t+1)}{(t+3)(t-1)}, \qquad K_3(t) = 4t\frac{(t+3)(t-1)}{(t-3)(t+1)},$$

come from the fact that the basis is not orthonormal, e.g., $K_1 = \frac{||X_2||||X_3||}{||X_1||||\dot{c}||}$. The differences between expressions in [4] and [20] arise from the parametrization of the curve $c(t)$; in [4] they use a geodesic parametrization, in [20] as here the parametrization is not geodesic.

Given an initial condition $a(t_0)$ there exists a unique solution defined on an open interval containing t_0. We are interested here mainly in solutions which are defined on all the open interval $(0, 1)$.

3 Isomonodromic deformation

Twistor theory provides a way to see one-dimensional reductions of symmetric instantons as solutions to the Painlevé equation. Remember that the twistor space of a real antiself-dual (ASD) 4-manifold M is a complex 3-manifold Z, which is a $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$-fiber bundle over M (the fibers are called "real twistor lines"). The pull-back to Z of any instanton over M determines a holomorphic vector bundle on Z (this is called the "twistor transform" of the instanton).

Looking at the action described in the previous section and taking twistor transform, each ASD invariant connection on an SU_2-bundle over an interval of three-dimensional orbits induces an isomonodromic deformation of connections on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$ having four simple poles [10, 20]. Each instanton defines a holomorphic vector bundle and the action can be used to define a holomorphic flat connection there, in such a way that horizontal sections are essentially given by the orbits of the action. The holomorphic connection is defined except on certain anticanonical divisor $Y \subset \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3$ which intersects each real twistor line in four points, therefore the restriction to each line gives a holomorphic connection on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$ with four singularities. These connections on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$ are given by the 1-form (λ is the variable on the line)

$$A(t;\lambda)d\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{3}\frac{A_j(t)}{\lambda-\lambda_j}d\lambda = -\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i(t)\alpha_i(t,\lambda)X_i d\lambda,$$

where the $\alpha_i(t,\lambda)$ are defined by the inverse of the complexified infinitesimal action

$$\alpha^{-1}(t,\lambda)d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\alpha_i(t,\lambda)X_i d\lambda.$$

Remember that the infinitesimal action is the map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3 \times \mathfrak{su}_2 \to T\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3$ obtained as the derivative of the SU_2-action, and its complexification (which we denote by the same letter) is the map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3$ which is of rank three, and can therefore be inverted at each point in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3 \setminus Y$. As it is known from general facts about isomonodromic deformations, the square of the residue of $A(t,\lambda)$ at each pole has constant trace in the deformation. This gives us a conserved quantity for equations (2.1):

Proposition 3.1. *Equations (2.1) have a conserved quantity given by*

$$\frac{1-t^2}{9-t^2}a_1(t)^2 + \frac{1+t}{t(3-t)}a_2(t)^2 - \frac{1-t}{t(3+t)}a_3(t)^2.$$

Proof. Choose a pole, say λ_0, and call $\alpha_{i,0}(t)$ the residue of $\alpha_i(t,\lambda)$ at it. Then we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(A_0^2(t)\right) = -2\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i(t)^2\alpha_{i,0}(t)^2 = \mathrm{const}\,.$$

Taking derivative with respect to t we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\dot{a}_i\alpha_{i,0}+a_i\dot{\alpha}_{i,0})a_i\alpha_{i,0}=0,$$

and using the ASD equations (2.1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(2\frac{\alpha_{i,0}}{K_i}+\dot{\alpha}_{i,0}\right)a_i^2\alpha_{i,0}-a_1a_2a_3\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\alpha_{i,0}^2}{K_i}=0.$$

As the above equation is true for any solution of (2.1), choosing for example the one with $a_2=a_3=0$, we obtain that the residue $\alpha_{1,0}$ has to satisfy

$$\dot{\alpha}_{1,0}(t)=-2\frac{\alpha_{1,0}(t)}{K_1(t)},$$

and similarly for $i=2,3$. Then, we must also have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\alpha_{i,0}^2}{K_i}=0.$$

Moreover, since for the solution $a_1=a_2=a_3\equiv 1$ the flat connection is $A=\alpha^{-1}$ we know (see [10]) that $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_0^2(t)\right)=\frac{1}{8}$, hence the residues satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\alpha_{i,0}^2=-\frac{1}{16}.$$

The last three equations completely determine the $\alpha_{i,0}(t)^2$, and they are given by

$$\alpha_{1,0}(t)^2=-\frac{t^2-1}{16(t^2-9)},\qquad \alpha_{2,0}(t)^2=-\frac{t+1}{16t(3-t)},\qquad \alpha_{3,0}(t)^2=\frac{1-t}{16t(t+3)}.$$

As a consequence, the functions $\alpha_{i,0}(t)^2$ are independent of the pole chosen (fact already known), or in other words

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(A_0^2(t)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_1^2(t)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_2^2(t)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A_3^2(t)\right).$$ ■

Let $\frac{1}{8}\theta^2=\operatorname{tr}(A_0^2(t))$ be the above constant; observe that $\operatorname{tr}(A_0^2(t))$ is real but not necessarily positive, and so θ may be imaginary. Then, the eigenvalues of A_i are $\pm\frac{1}{4}\theta$. This kind of isomonodromic deformation is a known dress of the VI Painlevé equation [12]. Given a solution to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ we can construct the matrices A_i's and viceversa. If we start with the matrix $A(t;\lambda)$, then for each value x of the cross ratio of the four poles there is a unique point $y(x)\in\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,x,\infty\}$ such that $A(x;y(x))$ has a common eigenvector with the residue at infinity (corresponding to one of the eigenvalues). As Jimbo and Miwa showed $y(x)$ is a solution to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$. In terms of θ the parameters $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)$ of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ are given by

$$\alpha=\frac{1}{8}(\theta\pm 2)^2,\qquad \beta=-\frac{1}{8}\theta^2,\qquad \gamma=\frac{1}{8}\theta^2,\qquad \delta=-\frac{1}{8}\left(\theta^2-4\right).$$

Denoting by P_t the real twistor line corresponding to the point $c(t)$ we have

$$P_t\cap Y=\left\{\sqrt{\frac{t^4+18t^2-27+\sqrt{(t^2-1)(t^2-9)^3}}{8t^3}}\right\}$$

(in homogeneous coordinates), see [20]. Then, the cross ratio in our situation is

$$x = \frac{(t+1)(t-3)^3}{(t-1)(t+3)^3}.$$

Since the A_i's are determined by the solution to $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$, so are the a_i's. Following [12] (see also [15]), let $y(x)$ be the solution to the Painlevé equation. By writing

$$z(x) = 4A(x; y(x))_{11},$$

one has

$$\dot{y} = \frac{y(y-1)(y-x)}{2x(x-1)}\left(z + \frac{2}{y-x}\right).$$

From this expression, and after some computations, we can see that the functions a_i's are given by the following formulas

$$a_1^2 = \frac{(t^2-9)x(x-1)^2}{4(t^2-1)(y-1)(y-x)} w_1 w_2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$a_2^2 = \frac{t(3-t)x(x-1)}{4(t+1)y(y-1)} w_2 w_3, \tag{3.2}$$

$$a_3^2 = \frac{t(t+3)x^2(x-1)}{4(1-t)y(y-x)} w_1 w_3, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$w_1 = \left(2\dot{y} + \frac{(\theta-2)y^2 - 2\theta xy + 2y + \theta x}{x(x-1)}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

$$w_2 = \left(2\dot{y} + \frac{(\theta-2)y^2 + 2(1-\theta)y + \theta x}{x(x-1)}\right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$w_3 = \left(2\dot{y} + \frac{(\theta-2)y^2 + 2y - \theta x}{x(x-1)}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Reciprocally, it is not difficult, from the above equations, to find an explicit expression for y in terms of a_1, a_2, a_3. By eliminating $\dot{y}$ from the above equations, and after some elementary manipulations of the formulas we find:

Theorem 3.2. *To each equivariant ASD instanton determined by (a_1, a_2, a_3), with two of the a_i's not identically zero, there corresponds a solution $y(x)$ to the Painlevé VI equation given by*

$$y = \frac{(t-3)^3(t+1)((t^2-4t+3)a_2^2 - (t^2+4t+3)a_3^2)a_1}{(t+3)((t^2+2t-3)^2a_2^2 - (t^2-2t-3)^2a_3^2)a_1 \pm 16\theta a_2 a_3 t^3}, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$x = \frac{(t+1)(t-3)^3}{(t-1)(t+3)^3}.$$

The corresponding parameters of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ are determined by Proposition 3.1.

Proof. Substracting (3.4) from (3.5) we obtain

$$y = \frac{x}{2\theta}(w_2 - w_1),$$

hence

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2}{4\theta^2}\left(w_1^2 + w_2^2 - w_1 w_2\right).$$

Now, using (3.1)–(3.3) we can find w_1^2, w_2^2 and $w_1 w_2$, and substituting in the last identity we obtain

$$y^2 = \left(\frac{x a_1}{\theta a_2 a_3}\left(\frac{(1-t)(y-x)a_3^2}{(t+3)x} - \frac{(t+1)(y-1)a_2^2}{(3-t)}\right)\right)^2.$$

Therefore

$$y = \pm\frac{x a_1}{\theta a_2 a_3}\left(\frac{(1-t)(y-x)a_3^2}{(t+3)x} - \frac{(t+1)(y-1)a_2^2}{(3-t)}\right),$$

and solving for y,

$$y = \frac{(t+1)(t+3)a_2^2 - (1-t)(3-t)a_3^2}{\frac{(t+1)(t+3)a_2^2}{x} - (1-t)(3-t)a_3^2 \pm \theta\frac{(t^2-9)(x-1)a_2 a_3}{x a_1}}.$$

Finally, substituting the value of x we arrive at the expression given in the statement of the proposition. ■

Remark 3.3. The condition that two of the functions a_i are identically zero is equivalent to saying that one of them vanishes at some point. Suppose that $a_3(t_0) = 0$ at some $t_0 \in (0,1)$, then equations (3.1)–(3.3) imply that one of the other two has to vanish at t_0 too. If $a_3(t_0) = a_2(t_0) = 0$, the ASD equations imply that necessarily $a_3 = a_2 = 0$ at all points. In other words, there are two possibilities: there are two of the a_i's that are identically zero, or $a_1(t)a_2(t)a_3(t) \neq 0$ for all t. On the other hand, given that two of the a_i's are null it is easy to find the third of them. For example, if $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ then

$$a_1(t) = \theta\sqrt{\frac{9-t^2}{1-t^2}}.$$

Example 3.4. For the obvious solution $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ we obtain

$$y = -\frac{(t-3)^2(t+1)}{(t+3)(t^2+3)},$$

which is one of Hitchin's octahedral solutions (Poncelet polygon with $k = 3$, with a different parametrization). In this case $\theta = 1$, therefore the parameters of the Painlevé equation are $\left(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right)$.

Example 3.5. For the Hopf bundle the ASD connection is given by

$$a_1(t) = 3\frac{1-t^2}{t^2+3}, \qquad a_2(t) = -6\frac{t+1}{t^2+3}, \qquad a_3(t) = 6\frac{1-t}{t^2+3}.$$

Substituting in (3.7) we find

$$y(t) = -\frac{(t-3)^2(t-1)(t+1)^2}{(t+3)\left(7t^4+6t^2+3\right)}.$$

In this example the parameters of the Painlevé equation are $\left(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{9}{8}, \frac{9}{8}, -\frac{5}{8}\right)$.

4 Holonomic singularities

Let M be a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $S \subset M$ an embedded surface. For an SU_2 vector bundle over M, a connection defined on $M \setminus S$ has holonomic singularity along S if the connection 1-form restricted to each normal plane to S can be written as

$$i \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} d\theta + \text{lower order terms}, \tag{4.1}$$

where (r, θ) are polar coordinates in the normal plane to S, and $a \in [0, 1/2]$ is the "holonomy parameter". The limit of the holonomy for shrinking circles around S is then given by

$$\exp 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $a = 0$ the asymptotic holonomy is trivial and, for an appropriate definition of the lower order terms, the connection is defined on all of M. This is the definition given in [13]. When $a = 1/2$ the holonomy goes to -1 and it is trivial if we look at the associated SO_3 bundle. The limit holonomies for $a = 1/2 + \epsilon$ and $a = 1/2 - \epsilon$ are conjugate to each other, and therefore equivalent.

Equivariant vector bundles on S^4 for the action considered here are classified by a pair of integers congruent with 1 mod 4. These integers correspond to the weights of the stabiliser of each orbit [3, 4, 22]. For the existence of ASD connections (without any singularity) the weight of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$ has to be equal to one. Let us denote by E_n the equivariant vector bundle whose weights are n on $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ and 1 on $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$ [5].

We will consider ASD connections on S^4, defined on E_n, having holonomic singularities along both special orbits $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\pm}$. Their existence is established in [22] and will be explained in the next section. For the moment we assume that they exist and we compute the parameters of the Painlevé equation related to them in terms of the holonomy parameter. We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the ASD connection in order to avoid confusion with the flat connection ∇ defined from the action.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (a_1, a_2, a_3) be a triplet defining an invariant ASD connection on $E_n \to S^4$ having holonomic singularities along $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\pm}$ with parameter a on $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$. Then, $\lim_{t\to 1} a_2(t) = n + 4a$.*

Proof. Take a section $g\colon U \to \mathrm{SU}_2$, on an open neighbourhood U of x^- in $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^- \cong \mathrm{SU}_2/\tilde{\mathrm{O}}_2'$, such that $g(x^-) = id$. Since $N_+ = S^4 \setminus \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ associated to the slice representation of O_2', the above section gives an isomorphism

$$N_+ \cong U \times D,$$

D being a disc perpendicular to $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ at x^-.

Since $E_n|_{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-}$ is the vector bundle associated to the representation of O_2' on E_{x^-} then $E|_U$ is trivial. On the other hand $E|_D$ is O_2'-equivariantly trivial, hence we have that $E|_{U\times D}$ is trivial. Taking a frame $\{s_1, s_2\}$ for E on $U \times D$ given by the above trivialization (notice that necessarily $\mathcal{D}_{\dot{c}} s_i = 0$), the connection 1-form Φ with respect to this frame has the behaviour (4.1). Let us take another frame $\{s_1', s_2'\}$ for E on $U \times (D \setminus [c([-1, 0])])$ in the following way

$$s_i'(x, y) = g(x) e^{\frac{1}{4}\theta j} \cdot s_i(c(t)),$$

where $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$ is such that $e^{\frac{1}{4}\theta j} \cdot c(t) = y$. With respect to this "equivariant" frame the connection 1-form restricted to Σ is

$$\Phi_t' = \tfrac{1}{4} a_2(t) j d\theta + a_1(t) i\sigma_1 + a_3(t) k\sigma_3;$$

notice that the 1-forms σ_1, σ_3 extend to the orbit of x^- whereas $d\theta = 4\sigma_2$ does not. Both connection 1-forms are related on $U \times (D \setminus [c([-1,0])])$ by

$$\Phi = \Lambda\Phi'\Lambda^{-1} + \Lambda d\Lambda^{-1},$$

Λ being the change of frame matrix. It is easy to see that $\Lambda(x,y) = e^{\frac{n}{4}\theta j}\lambda(x)$, where $\lambda(x)$ is the matrix associated to the action of $g(x)$ (in particular $\lambda(x^-) = I$). We then have

$$\Phi_t = \tfrac{1}{4}(a_2 - n)j d\theta + \text{lower order terms},$$

therefore $\lim_{t\to 1} a_2(t) = 4a + n$, since the singularity is holonomic. Remark moreover that a_1 and a_3 remain bounded as $t \to 0$. ■

Using the conclusion of the preceding lemma we can establish the following theorem, which gives the family of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ equations related to the family of instantons with holonomic singularities along $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$. Notice that the parameters α, β, γ, δ depend only on the holonomy around $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ and not on the holonomy around $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$; if we consider self-dual instantons the converse is true.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(E_n, \mathcal{D})$ be an invariant ASD instanton with holonomic singularity along the surfaces $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\pm}$, having holonomic parameter a along $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$. Then, this instanton is determined by a solution to the Painlevé VI equation with parameters*

$$\alpha^{\pm} = \frac{1}{8}(4a + n \pm 2)^2, \qquad \beta = -\frac{1}{8}(4a+n)^2,$$
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{8}(4a+n)^2, \qquad \delta = -\frac{1}{8}\big((4a+n)^2 - 4\big).$$

Proof. Remember that

$$\theta^2 = 8\,\mathrm{tr}\, A_0^2 = -16\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i^2\alpha_{i,0}^2.$$

Taking limit when $t \to 1$ in the above formula we obtain $\theta^2 = \lim_{t\to 0} a_2(t)^2 = (4a+n)^2$, since $\alpha_{1,0}(1) = \alpha_{3,0}(1) = 0$ and $\alpha_{2,0}(1)^2 = -1/16$. ■

5 Sadun's solutions

The existence of solutions to ASD equations (2.1) defining instantons with holonomic singularities was proved by Sadun in [22]. By imposing the condition of finite energy he proved that there are solutions with certain asymptotic behaviour (roughly speaking they are perturbations of regular instantons). For an invariant connection (a_1, a_2, a_3) the finite energy condition implies that the functions a_i's are well defined on all the interval $(0,1)$ and that the limits

$$r_+ = \lim_{t\to 0} a_1(t), \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_- = \lim_{t\to 1} a_2(t)$$

exist. Furthermore if $r_+ \neq 1$ then $a_2(0) = a_3(0) = 0$, and if $r_- \neq 1$ then $a_1(1) = a_3(1) = 0$. For the connection to be ASD we must have $|r_+| \leq 1$ and if $|r_+| = 1$ we also have the equality $a_2(0) = a_3(0)$ but they are not necessarily zero. When the connection is ASD, if $r_+ = 1$ and $r_- \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ it can be extended to a smooth connection on all of S^4.

Sadun's result is summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 (Sadun). *For any pair of real numbers (c, r_-) such that $r_- \geq 1$, $0 \leq c \leq c_1$ for some positive constant c_1, there exists a finite energy solution (a_1, a_2, a_3) to equation (1.1) defined on $(0,1)$ with the following asymptotic behavior around $t = 1$:*

$$a_1(t) = -c(1-t)^{(r_--1)/2} + O\big((1-t)^{(r_-+1)/2}\big),$$
$$a_2(t) = r_- + O\big((1-t)^2\big),$$
$$a_3(t) = c(1-t)^{(r_--1)/2} + O\big((1-t)^{(r_-+1)/2}\big).$$

Moreover, the limit $r_+ = \lim_{t\to 0} a_1(t)$ exists and takes any value exactly once in the interval $[0,1]$ when we vary c.

Instantons given by the above proposition have holonomic singularities around $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\pm}$, and computing the asymptotic holonomy one obtains

$$\exp\left(\frac{1-r_+}{2}\pi i\right)$$

around $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^+$, and

$$\exp\left(\frac{1-r_-}{2}\pi j\right)$$

around $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$. The holonomy parameter on $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^-$ is then given by $a = \frac{r_--1}{4} - [\frac{r_--1}{4}]$ (here we take $a \in [0,1)$). The holonomy is trivial only when $r_\pm \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, which is the case when the connection is defined on all of S^4.

Remark 5.2. On the complement of the singularities the vector bundles E_n are all trivial, then in fact the connections of Proposition 5.1 are defined on the trivial vector bundle. Considering the connection with $r_- = n + 4a$ on E_1 is the same as considering those with $r_- = 4a$ on E_n for $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

Let us now look at the behaviour of the corresponding $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ solutions around the critical points. The critical singularities of $\mathrm{P_{VI}}$ are situated at $x = 0, 1, \infty$ which correspond to $t = -1, 0, 1$ respectively (and 3, ∞, -3 since there is a two-to-one correspondence between x and t). Remark that the asymptotic behaviour of y around a critical point is then determined by the asymptotic behaviour of a_1, a_2, a_3 at $t = -1, 0, 1$, and viceversa. Remember that the resonant values of the parameters are those corresponding to $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 5.3. *The solutions to the Painelevé VI equation defined from instantons given by Proposition 5.1 are not algebraic except for the resonant values of the parameters.*

Proof. To be algebraic the solutions must have critical behaviour of algebraic type since the corresponding Painlevé equations are equivalent, via Okamoto transformation, to that of Dubrovin and Mazzoco. In particular they must satisfy $\lim_{x\to\infty} y(x) = \infty$. But if $t \to 1$ then $x \to \infty$, and using the asymptotic behaviour of the functions a_i's given in Proposition 5.1 and the expression (3.7) we see that $\lim_{t\to 1} y(t) = 0$ for $\theta > 1$ and $\lim_{t\to 1} y(t) = -c^2$ for $\theta = 1$, hence they can not be algebraic. Certainly they are algebraic for instantons that can be extended through the singular orbits which correspond to the parameters with $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$. ■

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Nigel Hitchin for his suggestion to look at instantons with holonomic singularities and Gil Bor for many useful conversations. We also thank the referees for many useful suggestions that help to improve the paper. This work was partially supported by Grupo CSIC 618 (UdelaR, Uruguay).

References

[1] Boalch P., From Klein to Painlevé via Fourier, Laplace and Jimbo, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **90** (2005), 167–208, math.AG/0308221.

[2] Boalch P., Six results on Painlevé VI, in Théories asymptotiques et équations de Painlevé, *Sémin. Congr.*, Vol. 14, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2006, 1–20, math.AG/0503043.

[3] Bor G., Yang–Mills fields which are not self-dual, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992), 393–410.

[4] Bor G., Montgomery R., SO(3) invariant Yang–Mills fields which are not self-dual, in Hamiltonian Systems, Transformation Groups and Spectral Transform Methods (Montreal, PQ, 1989), Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, 1990, 191–198.

[5] Bor G., Segert J., Symmetric instantons and the ADHM construction, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **183** (1997), 183–203.

[6] Chang L.N., Chang N.P., Instantons with fractional topological charge, *Phys. Lett. B* **72** (1977), 341–342.

[7] Dubrovin B., Mazzocco M., Monodromy of certain Painlevé-VI transcendents and reflection groups, *Invent. Math.* **141** (2000), 55–147, math.AG/9806056.

[8] Fuchs R., Über lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit drei im Endlichen gelegenen wesentlich singulären Stellen, *Math. Ann.* **63** (1907), 301–321.

[9] Gamayun O., Iorgov N., Lisovyy O., Conformal field theory of Painlevé VI, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012), no. 10, 038, 25 pages, arXiv:1207.0787.

[10] Hitchin N.J., Twistor spaces, Einstein metrics and isomonodromic deformations, *J. Differential Geom.* **42** (1995), 30–112.

[11] Hitchin N.J., A lecture on the octahedron, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 577–600.

[12] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, *Phys. D* **2** (1981), 407–448.

[13] Kronheimer P.B., Mrowka T.S., Gauge theory for embedded surfaces. I, *Topology* **32** (1993), 773–826.

[14] Lisovyy O., Tykhyy Y., Algebraic solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation, *J. Geom. Phys.* **85** (2014), 124–163, arXiv:0809.4873.

[15] Mahoux G., Introduction to the theory of isomonodromic deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients, in The Painlevé Property, *CRM Ser. Math. Phys.*, Springer, New York, 1999, 35–76.

[16] Mason L.J., Woodhouse N.M.J., Self-duality and the Painlevé transcendents, *Nonlinearity* **6** (1993), 569–581.

[17] Mason L.J., Woodhouse N.M.J., Integrability, self-duality, and twistor theory, *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*, Vol. 15, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996, oxford Science Publications.

[18] Mazzocco M., Rational solutions of the Painlevé VI equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 2281–2294.

[19] Muñiz Manasliski R., Painlevé VI equation from invariant instantons, in Geometric and Topological Methods for Quantum Field Theory, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 434, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 215–222.

[20] Muñiz Manasliski R., Isomonodromic deformations and SU_2-invariant instantons on S^4, *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** (2009), 1036–1047, arXiv:1602.07221.

[21] Okamoto K., Studies on the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painlevé equation $P_{\rm VI}$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **146** (1987), 337–381.

[22] Sadun L., A symmetric family of Yang–Mills fields, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 257–291.

[23] Watanabe H., Birational canonical transformations and classical solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **27** (1998), 379–425.

[24] Woodhouse N.M.J., Two twistor descriptions of the isomonodromy problem, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 4087–4093, nlin.SI/0312060.